ETHNOCULTURAL
DATA BASE MATERIALS
SERIES III

SPECIAL REPORT NO. 3

ONTARIO'S ETHNOCULTURAL POPULATION, 1981: SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTIONS

A report prepared for the
Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture by

Professor L.S. Bourne Professor A.M. Baker Professor W. Kalbach

with the assistance of

W. Banon D. Green M. Richard
R. Cressman B. Matthews S. Schulte

Centre for Urban and Community Studies
University of Toronto

1985

Commissioned by:
Ontario Ministry of
Citizenship and Culture 1985

ISSN 0714-1297
ISBN-0-7729-0034-5
D1582 10/85 1M

Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture
77 Bloor Street West
Toronto, Canada
M7A 2R9

Distributed by:
Ethnocultural Data Office
Multicultural Program
77 Bloor Street West, 5th Floor
Toronto, Ontario M7A 2R9
Telephone: (416) 965-5280

ISSN 0714-1297
ISBN-0-7729-0034-5
D1582 10/85 1M

Copies available from the Ontario Government Bookstore,
880 Bay Street, Toronto for personal shopping.
Out-of-town customers write to Publications Services Section,
5th Floor, 880 Bay St., Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N8.
Telephone: (416) 965-6015. Toll-free long distance -
1-800-268-7540; in Northwestern Ontario 0-Zenith 67200.

Copyright 1985 Government of Ontario

Published by the Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Printed by the Queen's Printer for Ontario.
Province of Ontario, Toronto, Canada.

Foreword

As Minister responsible for multiculturalism and citizenship in Ontario, I am pleased to introduce **Ontario's Ethnocultural Population 1981: Socio-Economic Characteristics and Geographical Distributions.** The report, the first to be based on ethnic origin, supplements the ongoing series of mother tongue publications produced as part of this Ministry's Ethnocultural Data Base.

This volume provides a comprehensive overview of the province and focuses on five major urban centres. Key points are identified in the Highlights sections to assist in the use of the report.

Further information is available within my Ministry to augment the findings. We welcome your inquiries.

Lily Munro

Lily Munro
Minister

Preface

Ontario's Ethnocultural Population 1981: Socio-Economic Characteristics and Geographical Distributions has been produced for the Ethnocultural Data Base of the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture as part of its Multicultural Program, in support of the Ministry's lead role in multiculturalism. The major purpose of the Ethnocultural Data Base is to provide the Ontario Government with information about the patterns of residential settlement and the socio-demographic characteristics of Ontario's ethnocultural groups. As well, the information provided in this publication is expected to be helpful to other levels of government, business and industry, community agencies, ethnic and multicultural organizations, post-secondary institutions, and the public generally.

This is the first of the Ethnocultural Data Base publications to be based on ethnic origin in the 1981 census (previous publications have been based on mother tongue or official language census data and immigration statistics). This volume, as the Introduction indicates, documents and displays in graphic and tabular form selected ethnic origin groups, showing their geographic distribution across Ontario and in a sample of five Census Metropolitan Areas as well as Metropolitan Toronto. Graphs include maps and population pyramids while tabulations pertain to demographic and socio-economic characteristics.

The basis for selection of ethnic origin groups is explained in the Introduction. Generally, this was based on the size of groups in proportion to the total population of the particular georgraphic area. It should be noted, however, that while the volume includes some maps and general figures for Native peoples, specific tabulations are contained in the special, detailed file on Native Peoples produced by Statistics Canada, and therefore are not included here. Further information on specific ethnic origin groups in Ontario is available from the Ethnocultural Data Office.

The report was prepared by a University of Toronto team led by Professor L.A. Bourne of the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, using data obtained from Statistics Canada through the Statistics Section of the Sectoral and Regional Policy Branch, Ontario Ministry of Treasury and Economics. General direction to the project was provided by Dorene E. Jacobs, Research Officer, and Maryelle Tetreault, Assistant Research Officer, Ethnocultural Data Office, Ministry of Citizenship and Culture. Assistance in preparing the manuscript was provided by Rajaie Matuk.

Additional copies may be obtained from the Ontario Government Bookstore along with other Ethnocultural Data Base publications.

The Ontario Government Bookstore is located at 880 Bay Street, Toronto for personal shopping. Out-of-town customers may write to Publications Services, 5th Floor, 880 Bay Street, Toronto, Ontario M7A 1N8. In Metropolitan Toronto, call 965-6015. Outside Metro, dial 1-800-268-7540, toll free. In area code 705, call the operator and ask for Zenith 67200.

Further information about the Ethnocultural Data Base is available from:

Ethnocultural Data, Multicultural Program
Ministry of Citizenship and Culture
77 Bloor Street West, 5th Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 2R9

Telephone: (416) 965-5280

Contents

	Page
SECTION 1	
Introduction	1-6
SECTION 2	
Socio-Economic and Demographic Characteristics of Ontario's Population, for Selected Ethnic Origin Groups	7-32
SECTION 3	
Age and Sex Composition of Ontario's Ethnic Origin Groups	33-38
SECTION 4	
Ontario in Maps: The Geographical Distribution of Ethnic Origin Groups (A Mini-Atlas)	39-56
SECTION 5	
Ontario's Urban Areas in Maps: The Geographical Distribution of Ethnic Origin Groups Within Selected Metropolitan Areas (A Mini-Atlas)	57-122
SECTION 6	
Conclusions and Suggestions for Further Research	123-126
APPENDICES:	
A Selected Census Definitions B Comparison of Ethnic Origin Classifications	127-138

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared under a grant from the Citizenship Development Branch of the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture to the Centre for Urban and Community Studies at the University of Toronto. The maps in Sections 4 and 5 were prepared through the Simulation Lab, Department of Geography and the Age-Sex Pyramids in Section 3 were prepared in the Population Research Lab at Erindale College. The report was typed by Sharon Bolt with assistance from Susan Dentelbeck.

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

Ontario's population, particularly in the decades since World War II, has become increasingly diverse in both social and ethnocultural terms. Rapid changes in the province's demography, economy and in life styles, and waves of distinctive new immigrants, have altered age structures, household sizes and family composition, as well as the traditional cultural base of the society as a whole. One dimension through which this diversity can be measured is that of the ethnic origins of the population.

1.1 Objectives

The primary purpose of this report is to document and to display in graphic form, the diverse ethnic origins and socio-economic characteristics of the population of Ontario and of its major cities. More precisely, the report provides simple counts of the population by ethnic origin (as declared in the 1981 Census), tabulations of selected socio-economic and demographic characteristics for each of the larger ethnic groups, and a mini-atlas of the geographical distribution of the fifteen largest groups in the province of Ontario and in a sample of its census metropolitan areas.

By 1981 nearly 40 percent of Ontario's population, and 50 percent of Metropolitan Toronto's population, could trace their cultural roots to origins other than British or French. Despite the well-known difficulties of defining ethnicity and of measuring ethnic origins, the 1981 Census data serve as a useful composite index of the rich social attributes which characterize a multicultural society.

The principal ingredients of the report are the tables, graphs and maps. In most instances these illustrations are allowed to speak for themselves. Our mandate was to provide a graphic display and description of ethnic origins, not to analyze nor interpret the data in detail. To assist the reader, however, we identify highlights in the tables and maps. Detailed evaluations and explanations of the relationships and patterns revealed must be left to subsequent projects. As such the present report should serve as a substantive resource and information base on which other studies can build. There are numerous questions raised by these data which suggest the need for further examination.

1.2 Data Sources and Tabulations

The data on which this report is based were derived from the 1981 Census, in both published and unpublished tabulations. In that census a single question on the long-form (B) questionnaire, sent to a 20 percent sample of all households, asked

respondents to 'declare' their ethnic origins. Specifically, the respondent was asked to state the ethnic or cultural group to which the respondent or the respondent's ancestors belonged on first coming to this continent. Unlike previous censuses, the 1981 Census did not require respondents to trace their roots exclusively through their paternal ancestry. Moreover, for the first time Statistics Canada coded more than one origin or group*, adding further complexity to the data base. This report deals only with single origins.

Statistics Canada also coded the responses to this question into some 200 different categories denoting ethnic origin. These categories were then aggregated into a smaller number of groups for the published (print and tape) versions of the Census. The User Summary Tapes, for example, contain counts of the population by ethnic origin for differing aggregations, the most detailed of which contains only 42 groups (see Appendix B).

For this project a special tabulation of the census data on ethnic origins was obtained from Statistics Canada by the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture. This tabulation provided detailed population counts for Ontario's 62 largest ethnic origin groups for each census division in the province and census tract in urban Ontario. The classification itself was specified by the Ministry (see Appendix B). These data, for the province as a whole, are summarized in Table 1 in Section 2.

Additional data on the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of these 62 groups were obtained by the Ministry through special tabulations of census data. For selected ethnic groups (except for Native Peoples), the following variables, cross-tabulated by age and sex, were made available:

1) marital status

2) household and family structure

3) period of immigration

4) level of education

5) occupation and class of worker

---

* This change in procedures, allowing for multiple ethnic origins, affects the comparability of the 1981 and 1971 Census data for single ethnic origins. The totals for single ethnic origins in 1981 used in this report are likely to be underestimates of the total that would have been obtained had the 1971 Census procedures been retained.

6) labour force participation

7) average household income

It is from this rich array of cross-tabulated data that the tables in the following section were prepared.

1.3 The Classification Scheme

Any attempt to classify and describe people on the basis of such elusive concepts as cultural background or ethnicity is certain to face serious difficulties. No single classification of ethnicity will suffice for all purposes and all groups of peoples. The 1981 Census data on ethnic origin are no exception, and must be interpreted with some caution. In those instances in which culture, language and political boundaries have been relatively coincident over time, as in the case of Sweden or Denmark, the level of ambiguity is low and the problems of classification are minor. On the other hand, where political boundaries have changed and population mobility over successive generations has been relatively high, serious problems of interpretation may arise. Where ethnic intermarriage has occurred among subsequent generations born in Canada, both the meaning and significance of ethnic origins become increasingly problematic.

Establishing the ethnic origins of immigrants to Canada from other parts of the New World, particularly from Latin America and the Caribbean, proved to be the most difficult problem. These groups could appropriately claim their country of origin on coming to Canada or the country or cultural group of their ancestors on immigrating to the country of origin*. For the most part these limitations affect the smaller ethnic origin groups, particularly recent immigrant populations.

1.4 Map Design: The Computer-Based Mini-Atlas

To undertake an atlas of the geographical distribution of ethnic groups in Ontario and its major urban areas required a number of a priori decisions on selecting the groups to be mapped as well as on details of cartographic design. First, it was not feasible to provide maps for every one of 62 groups for Ontario and for each of the census metropolitan areas (CMAs). Moreover, the relatively small size of many of the groups (as listed in Table 1), combined with the statistical errors inherent in data based on a 20 percent sample, precluded their disaggregation into small geographic units (such as census tracts) for mapping purposes.

---

* For example, a Spanish-speaking immigrant from Chile whose ancestors initially came from Germany could declare his or her ethnic origin as any one or a combination of Spanish, Chilean (Latin American) or German. We have no way of knowing what proportions chose which category.

Consequently, the decision was made to provide maps for only those groups which represented 1.0 percent or more of the provincial population. This encompassed 13 ethnic groups, to which the Black and the Yugoslavian populations (at 0.9 percent each) were added for a total of 15 maps for Ontario.

Since the population composition of each urban area differs substantially (see Table 2), and as the number of geographic subdivisions (i.e. census tracts) increased, this criterion had to be modified for the metropolitan areas. A minimum percentage of 1.5 percent of total metropolitan area population was employed in identifying the ethnic groups to be mapped. One of the unique features of this part of the study is that it does incorporate the entire census metropolitan area and thus displays the distributions of ethnic groups in outer suburban areas.

Selecting the metropolitan areas to be included was based on three considerations: the need for regional balance within the province, the objective of illustrating the range of ethnic diversity in our cities, and the availability of geographic co-ordinates from the 1981 Census. The file of geographic co-ordinates necessary to produce computer maps from the 1981 Census, as prepared by Statistics Canada (labelled the CARTLIB file), unfortunately contained data for only three of Ontario's census metropolitan areas (Toronto, Ottawa, Hamilton)*. As these three were considered to be an inadequate sample of the province's metropolitan population, the project team undertook to prepare appropriate 1981 co-ordinates for census tracts in two additional metropolitan areas in northern Ontario (Sudbury and Thunder Bay) to provide a more balanced regional coverage. For each of these five metropolitan areas, maps were then produced for those ethnic groups representing more than 1.5 percent of the total population of that metropolitan area. This resulted in the following number of maps: Toronto CMA (12), Metropolitan Toronto (12), Ottawa (5), Hamilton (8), Sudbury (6) and Thunder Bay (8). The specific ethnic groups mapped for each urban area varies accordingly. Note that maps are included for the Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto as well as the CMA in order to highlight the patterns in the central area of Toronto.

---

* The CARTLIB file from the 1976 Census does provide co-ordinates for 10 metropolitan areas in Ontario, but because of changes in the number and boundaries of census tracts between 1976 and 1981, the 1976 file is not compatible with the 1981 Census data.

Finally, to display any set of data geographically requires some form of aggregation. That aggregation in turn influences the images conveyed by the graphs and maps. In designing the class intervals for the maps in Sections 4 and 5, it was decided to use five categories of equal size (quintiles) for each ethnic group, irrespective of the size of that group.* This ensures that the spatial distribution of each group (measured in terms of their proportion of the total population of each census tract) is treated in a consistent fashion, but it also tends to inflate the importance of variations in residential patterning for the smaller groups. Thus, the maps are primarily intended to facilitate comparisons on a relative rather than absolute scale.

1.5 Organization of the Report

The body of the report is divided into four major sections. Section 2 provides a tabular summary of the size and socio-economic and demographic characteristics of each of the 23 largest ethnic groups in Ontario and in its ten census metropolitan areas. Section 3 graphically illustrates differences in the age-sex composition of ethnic origin groups through the use of population pyramids. Sections 4 and 5 together constitute the mini-atlas of 66 maps displaying where in Ontario and in its cities these ethnic groups live. Section 4 contains 15 maps for Ontario showing the proportion of the population in each census division represented by each major ethnic group. Section 5 provides 51 maps illustrating similar proportions for each census tract in the following urban areas: Toronto CMA; Metropolitan Toronto; Hamilton CMA; Ottawa CMA (Ontario part only); Sudbury CMA and Thunder Bay CMA. Section 6 provides a short summary with suggestions for future research and policy investigation. Two appendices provide definitions of the census terms used and the detailed classification of ethnic origin groups.

---

* In two cases, more than one-fifth of the census tracts had data values of zero (the Jewish populations in the Toronto CMA and Metro). Consequently a division into five groups would have placed some of these zero values into the second group as well as the first group, making interpretation difficult. Instead, a division into four groups was used for these two maps. For all of the urban maps, census tracts having total populations of less than 200 were omitted entirely from the data, and shown as blanks on the maps.

1.6 A Cautionary Note

Readers of this report should be aware of the limitations and potential biases inherent in the census data on ethnic origins. It is worth emphasizing those limitations here. First, it should be recalled that the responses to the census question reflect the respondents' perceptions of their ethnocultural background and thus are subject to rather wide interpretation. Some respondents will follow language, others religion and still others the country of origin or birth. Second, members of a family may trace different roots through either their paternal or maternal histories, or both. Others may simply not know the origins of their ancestors, while others may have erroneous impressions. Furthermore, the totals for the various ethnic origin groups used in this report do not include those who listed more than one ethnic or cultural group in the census questionnaire. The population of multiple origin groups warrants a separate study. In addition, census data for ethnic origin groups based on individuals (e.g. age, sex, education) are not always comparable with those based on households (e.g. household income). In the latter, the entire household is assigned to the ethnic origin group of the head of household (person 1 in the 1981 Census).

Still other limitations, as noted earlier, arise from the classification scheme employed. At each stage in the process of tabulating the census data, decisions had to be taken regarding the aggregation of individual ethnic origins which effectively limited subsequent reclassification. Particularly complex problems arose with such diverse groups as Indo-Pakistani, Jewish, Africans and Blacks and those from Balkan countries. Perhaps the most serious problems, cited above, related to groups who arrived in Canada from other New World regions, notably Latin-America and the Caribbean. For the latter groups, the census data on ethnic origin need to be supplemented by other information, such as language, religion and place of birth.

Despite these limitations, we should emphasize that these data represent the most comprehensive and reliable source of information available on the ethnocultural composition of Ontario's population. As such they warrant a careful and thorough examination.

SECTION 2: SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF ONTARIO'S POPULATION, FOR SELECTED ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS*

List of Tables:

Table 1: Ethnic Origin Groups in Ontario, 1981

Table 2: Ethnic Origin Groups in Ontario's Census Metropolitan Areas, 1981

Table 3: Selected Socio-Economic Characteristics of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981

Table 4: Age Structure of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981

Table 5: Ethnic Origin by Period of Immigration, Ontario, 1981

Table 6: Family Structure of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981

Table 7: Level of Schooling of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981

Table 8: Occupational Status of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981

Table 9: Average Household Income, Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981

Table 10: Indices of Dissimilarity in Residential Patterns, Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario CMAs, 1981

---

* Table 1 contains a complete listing of the 62 largest ethnic origin groups in 1981. Tables 2 through 9 inclusive provide data for the 23 largest groups (excluding Native Peoples), while Table 10 includes the largest 16 groups. In the latter cases, space limitations and the potential errors inherent in small samples precluded the inclusion of all 62 groups.

TABLE 1: HIGHLIGHTS: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO, 1981

- Of a total Ontario population of 8.5 million in 1981 (for which ethnic origin data are available) just under 91 percent declared a single origin, and 9 percent multiple origins.
- The provincial population, in terms of ethnic origins, is clearly multicultural (nearly 40% claimed neither British nor French origins).
- Under 53 percent (52.6) declared their ethnic origin as British (including English, Scottish, Irish, Welsh, and other British).
- Ten ethnic groups, including the British, had populations over 100,000 in 1981.
- The next largest ethnic groups, with over 100,000 population, included the French (7.7%), Italian (5.7%), German (4.4%), Dutch (2.2%), Ukrainian (1.6%), Jewish (1.5%), Portuguese (1.5%), Polish (1.4%) and Chinese (1.4%).
- Ethnic groups made up primarily of recent immigrants represent relatively small proportions of the total population.
- The visible minority population is estimated at 490,000 or 5.8 percent of the total population.
- Of all multiple ethnic origins declared (9.2%), the majority represented a combination involving British origins, and 2.4 percent claimed both British and French origins.
- The wide variety of ethnic origins which could be reported in the Census suggests caution in interpretation of these data (see Appendix B for classifications of ethnic origin groups).

TABLE 1: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO, 1981[1]

	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION
TOTAL POPULATION[2]	8,534,265	100.0
Single Origins	7,751,650	90.8
Multiple Origins	782,650	9.2
SINGLE ORIGINS	7,751,615	90.8
British	4,487,800	52.6
English	2,817,200	33.0
Irish	534,075	6.3
Scottish	630,030	7.4
Welsh	17,395	0.2
British N.O.S.*	487,830	5.7
Other British	1,265	0.0**
French	652,900	7.7
Italian	487,310	5.7
German	373,390	4.4
Dutch	191,125	2.2
Ukrainian	134,000	1.6
Jewish	131,320	1.5
Portuguese	129,005	1.5
Polish	122,940	1.4
Chinese	118,640	1.4
Indo-Pakistani	93,990	1.1
Bengali	320	0.0**
Gujarati	880	0.0**
Punjabi	1,820	0.0**
Singhalese	390	0.0**
Tamil	360	0.0**
Bangladesh	210	0.0**
Pakistani	8,335	0.1
Sri-Lanka	1,550	0.0**
East Indian N.O.S.*	33,820	0.4
Other Indo-Pakistani	46,315	0.5
Greek	85,955	1.0
Native Peoples	83,860	1.0
Status Indian	60,635	0.7
Non-Status Indian	14,130	0.2
Métis	8,530	0.1
Inuit	565	0.0**
Black	80,365	0.9
Caribbean Black	65,140	0.8
African Black	3,205	0.0**
Canadian Black	345	0.0**
Other Black[3]	11,675	0.1
Yugoslavian	78,145	0.9
Croatian	24,640	0.3
Serbian	7,025	0.1
Slovenian	5,040	0.1
Yugoslavian N.O.S.*	41,440	0.5
Magyar-Hungarian	59,140	0.7
Scandinavian	40,355	0.5
Danish	14,200	0.2
Icelandic	1,940	0.0**
Norwegian	8,215	0.1
Swedish	12,820	0.2
Scandinavian N.O.S.*	3,165	0.0**
Far East Asian	36,335	0.4
Japanese	16,685	0.2
Korean	13,880	0.2
Malay	790	0.0**
Other Asian N.O.S.*	4,980	0.1
Baltic	35,600	0.4
Estonian	11,800	0.1
Latvian	11,735	0.1
Lithuanian	12,065	0.1
Philippino	35,245	0.4
Finnish	33,400	0.4
Czech and Slovak	33,025	0.4
Czech	9,835	0.1
Slovak	12,645	0.1
Czechoslovakian	10,550	0.1
Asian Arab	26,330	0.3
Lebanese	11,545	0.1
Palestinian	525	0.0**
Syrian	1,065	0.0**
Asian Arab N.O.S.*	13,195	0.2
Spanish	25,185	0.3

TABLE 1: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO, 1981 (continued)

	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION
Canadian	21,860	0.3
Belgian	17,910	0.2
Austrian	15,145	0.2
Maltese	14,525	0.2
Indo-Chinese	12,815	0.2
Burmese	200	0.0**
Cambodian	885	0.0**
Laotian	2,465	0.0**
Thai	200	0.0**
Vietnamese	9,070	0.1
Swiss	11,755	0.1
Macedonian	9,905	0.1
Other African[4]	9,675	0.1
Armenian	9,660	0.1
Latin American	9,105	0.1
Argentinian	675	0.0**
Brazilian	670	0.0**
Chilean	1,075	0.0**
Cuban	225	0.0**
Ecuadorian	815	0.0**
Haitian	285	0.0**
Mexican	1,235	0.0**
Peruvian	415	0.0**
Other Latin American NOS*	3,710	0.0**
Russian	8,715	0.1
Romanian	8,170	0.1
North African Arab	3,535	0.0**
Egyptian	3,320	0.0**
North African Arab NOS*	215	0.0**
Iranian	2,740	0.0**
Turkish	2,545	0.0**
Bulgarian	1,885	0.0**
American	1,710	0.0**
Indonesian	925	0.0**
Albanian	855	0.0**
Byelorussian	625	0.0**
Fijian	245	0.0**
Polynesian	185	0.0**
Israeli	165	0.0**
Luxembourg	130	0.0**
Other Single Origins	11,480	0.1
MULTIPLE ORIGINS	782,650	9.2
British and French	201,415	2.4
British and Other	375,800	4.4
French and Other	45,145	0.5
British, French and Other	50,110	0.6
European and Other[5]	83,980	1.0
Native Peoples and Other[6]	26,200	0.3

*N.O.S. = not otherwise specified **0.0 = less than 0.05 percent

[1]The 1981 Census is the first to accept more than one ethnic origin for an individual.

[2]Excludes inmates

[3]See also Other African

[4]Includes South African, Rhodesian and Other African

[5]Includes multiple origins involving European, Jewish and Other origins not included elsewhere

[6]Includes multiple origins involving Native Peoples and British, French, European, Jewish or Other origins.

Source: 1981 Census, Statistics Canada
COMPILED FOR: ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE, ONTARIO MINSTRY OF CITIZENSHIP AND CULTURE

TABLE 2: HIGHLIGHTS: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO'S CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREAS, 1981

- Ontario's metropolitan areas (CMAs) are surprisingly diverse in terms of the ethnic origins of their population.
- The most British cities are London (64.6%) and Oshawa (64.6%); the least British are Sudbury (32.1%) and Thunder Bay (39.6%).
- The most French cities are Sudbury (34.6%) and Ottawa (22.2%); the most Italian is Toronto (10.0%); the most German is Kitchener-Waterloo (19.6%); and the most Ukrainian is Thunder Bay (7.9%)
- The Toronto CMA, as expected, is the most diverse overall with 16 different ethnic groups each representing 1.0 percent or more of the total population.
- Other small but unique concentrations appear, such as the Finnish population in Thunder Bay (6.3%) and Sudbury (3.0%), and the Yugoslavian population in Hamilton (2.3%) and Windsor (2.4%).
- Ethnic diversity among Ontario's urban areas mirrors such variables as population size (e.g. Toronto), location (e.g. Ottawa) and the historical effects of chain migrations in which new immigrants tend to follow earlier immigrants of similar ethnic origins to particular cities.
- London and Oshawa are the least diverse cities in terms of ethnic origin.
- The disproportionate size of the Toronto CMA, and its attractiveness for new immigrants makes its ethnic communities relatively large; for example, Toronto's Italian population represents 61 percent of the Ontario Italian population and its Jewish population represents 83 percent of the provincial Jewish population. In contrast, the Toronto CMA as a whole contains about 35% of the provincial population (in 1981).

TABLE 2: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO'S CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREAS, 1981 (in percentages)

Ethnic Origin Groups (in %)	ONTARIO	Hamilton	Kitchener Waterloo	London	Oshawa	Ottawa-Hull (Ontario Part only)	St. Catharines Niagara	Sudbury	Thunder Bay	Toronto	Windsor
1. British[1]	52.6	55.6	46.4	64.6	64.6	42.5	49.7	32.1	39.6	46.7	41.1
2. French	7.7	3.4	3.2	2.8	4.3	22.2	7.3	34.6	5.7	2.5	16.2
3. Italian	5.7	7.7	1.1	2.6	2.9	2.9	9.2	5.5	8.1	10.0	7.7
4. German	4.4	3.8	19.6	4.2	2.8	2.7	6.1	2.2	2.7	2.8	3.6
5. Dutch	2.2	3.2	1.9	3.5	2.8	1.2	3.2	0.6	1.5	1.2	0.8
6. Ukrainian	1.6	2.2	1.1	1.1	2.8	0.8	3.1	2.3	7.9	1.7	2.1
7. Jewish[2]	1.5	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.3	1.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	3.7	0.8
8. Portuguese	1.5	1.2	3.7	1.5	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.5	3.0	0.2
9. Polish	1.4	2.3	1.9	1.3	2.3	0.9	2.4	1.2	2.7	1.6	1.7
10. Chinese	1.4	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5	1.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	3.0	1.0
11. Indo-Pakistani[3]	1.1	0.8	1.1	0.5	0.5	1.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	2.3	0.6
12. Greek	1.0	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	2.2	0.8
13. Native Peoples[4]	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	1.0	1.8	0.4	0.2
14. Black[5]	1.0	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	2.4	0.7
15. Yugoslavian[6]	0.9	2.3	1.3	0.7	0.5	0.2	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.3	2.4
16. Magyar-Hungarian	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.3	1.8	0.2	0.5	0.8	1.3
17. Scandinavian[7]	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	2.4	0.4	0.3
18. Far East Asian[8]	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	-	0.3	1.0	0.1
19. Baltic[9]	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.1
20. Philippino	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	-	-	1.0	0.5
21. Finnish	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	3.0	6.3	0.3	0.2
22. Czech & Slovak[10]	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.3	1.2	0.4	0.9
23. Asian Arab[11]	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1	1.1	0.1	0.2	-	0.4	1.1
24. Spanish	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	-	0.7	0.2
Other Groups	11.2	10.7	13.6	10.8	11.0	17.5	12.1	13.7	16.1	9.5	15.4
TOTAL POPULATION (IN 000s)	8534	538	285	280	153	542	302	149	120	2975	244

TABLE 2: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO'S CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREAS, 1981 (in percentages)(continued)

Notes

[1]Includes English, Irish, Scottish, Welsh, British not otherwise specified, and other British.
[2]Includes individuals reporting Jewish ethnic origin and Jewish religion.
[3]Includes Bengali, Gujarati, Punjabi, Singhalese, Tamil, Bangladesh, Pakistani, Sri Lanka.
[4]Includes Status Indian, Non-Status Indian, Métis, and Inuit.
[5]Includes Caribbean, African Black, Canadian Black, and other Black not elsewhere specified.
[6]Includes Croatian, Serbian, Slovenian, and Yugoslavian not otherwise specified.
[7]Includes Danish, Icelandic, Norwegian, Swedish, and Scandinavian not otherwise specified.
[8]Includes Japanese, Korean, Malay, and other Far East Asian not otherwise specified.
[9]Includes Estonian, Latvian, and Lithuanian.
[10]Includes Czech, Slovak, and Czechoslovakian.
[11]Includes Lebanese, Palestinian, Syrian, and Asian Arab not otherwise specified.

TABLE 3: HIGHLIGHTS: SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

- Ontario's ethnic groups are highly varied in terms of socio-economic and demographic characteristics.
- These differences reflect the size of the groups, their country of origin and period of immigration, as well as life style and cultural background (see Tables 5 and 6).
- The proportion born abroad varies from 81.6 percent for Philippino to 2.6 percent for the French population (compared to 23.7% for the total provincial population).
- The most recent immigrants, in terms of the proportion immigrating since 1971, include Philippino (82.1%), Indo-Pakistani (77.3%) and Spanish (72.9%); while, of the proportionally earlier immigrants, such as the Baltic and Ukrainian groups, only 2.4% and 4.1% had immigrated since 1971.
- The age structure of each group reflects the presence or absence of an infusion of new immigrants: the proportion over 65 years of age is lowest for the Black (2.1%) and Indo-Pakistani (2.2%) populations and highest for the Baltic (23.2%), Finnish (17.0%) and Ukrainian (14.5%) groups (see Table 4).
- There appear to be associations between levels of education, labour force status, types of occupations and household incomes for different ethnic groups (see Tables 7, 8 and 9). These relationships warrant further study.
- Educational levels, occupational status, and incomes tend to be higher among recent immigrant groups, reflecting in part their age structures as well as the effects of selective immigration policies and changing job markets.
- Variations in the family structure of the ethnic populations are associated with differences in age structure and life style; earlier ethnic groups, such as the Ukrainians, Polish, Hungarian and Baltic have fewer children per family while more recent ethnic groups, such as Portuguese, Indo-Pakistani, Philippino, and Asian Arab populations, have younger and larger families.
- Note also that labour force participation rates tend to be highest among recent immigrant groups, particularly for females.

TABLE 3: SELECTED SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	% Born Abroad	Period of Immigration[1] Before 1946 %	1971-1981 %	Age Structure Less than 15 yrs. %	65 yrs. and over %	Male Female Ratio[3]	Family Structure Average number of children per family[4]	Youngest child at home aged 0-9 (%)[5]
British	14.2	22.0	22.6	20.6	11.4	.95	1.06	30.4
French	2.6	17.6	28.4	18.8	7.6	.97	1.26	36.5
Italian	53.4	2.9	7.9	21.7	6.3	1.08	1.60	37.3
German	32.2	8.0	9.1	13.8	10.7	.99	1.16	28.0
Dutch	43.5	2.7	7.2	18.7	7.1	1.05	1.55	35.8
Ukrainian	26.3	31.6	4.1	9.7	14.5	.99	0.99	24.3
Jewish	34.7	24.1	26.6	18.3	14.9	1.03	1.01	27.2
Portuguese	76.0	0.1	49.5	28.4	3.5	1.02	1.60	50.3
Polish	45.8	15.4	13.0	10.8	13.8	1.01	0.99	25.1
Chinese	78.1	1.0	70.0	23.8	6.1	1.01	1.51	45.8
Indo-Pakistani	79.3	0.1	77.3	30.6	2.2	1.06	1.57	58.2
Greek	61.6	1.5	25.6	28.3	4.5	1.06	1.63	46.2
Black	75.4	0.4	62.0	28.7	2.1	.88	1.30	53.3
Yugoslavian	65.8	6.5	23.9	21.7	7.1	1.06	1.34	37.1
Magyar-Hungarian	58.7	17.7	9.5	11.0	13.4	1.06	0.97	24.6
Scandinavian	36.1	20.3	14.3	11.0	12.9	1.07	1.03	26.5
Far East Asian[2]	49.7	5.3	70.6	22.5	6.2	1.00	1.46	41.2
Baltic	69.6	3.7	2.4	6.6	23.2	1.00	0.73	17.4
Philippino	81.6	-	82.1	30.1	3.8	.80	1.60	60.3
Finnish	41.6	30.5	9.0	12.4	17.0	.87	1.02	27.6
Czech & Slovak	58.4	29.0	13.0	12.0	13.7	1.08	1.09	26.7
Asian Arab	65.5	1.7	63.6	26.9	5.1	1.12	1.64	49.2
Spanish	80.5	0.4	72.9	29.0	2.6	1.00	1.45	56.1
TOTAL POPULATION	23.7	11.4	28.6	22.1	9.4	.97	1.36	33.9

cont'd ...

TABLE 3: SELECTED SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981 (continued)

Ethnic Origin Group	Education[6]		Labour Force Participation Rate[8]		Occupation[9]			Average Household Income[13]
	Grade 8 or less	Some post-secondary[7]	Female	Male	Managerial and Professional[10]	Clerical, Sales and Service[11]	Manufacturing & Construction[12]	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	($)
British	13.4	36.3	53.7	78.7	26.0	41.7	26.8	25,427
French	23.3	28.5	52.0	79.0	20.1	40.9	32.0	22,895
Italian	39.8	23.4	56.5	82.9	13.4	38.7	43.6	28,018
German	19.1	39.0	55.1	82.1	24.1	36.4	31.4	25,403
Dutch	16.7	38.5	53.6	84.0	23.1	33.4	29.4	24,992
Ukrainian	25.3	36.2	54.6	77.0	26.3	40.8	28.5	25,842
Jewish	11.5	54.9	55.7	79.3	48.0	41.8	9.0	38,870
Portuguese	53.2	12.9	63.1	87.6	6.0	33.7	52.8	26,427
Polish	24.6	36.8	56.0	77.4	24.4	37.7	32.9	25,497
Chinese	19.2	47.9	61.2	78.6	30.4	45.7	21.8	26,993
Indo-Pakistani	10.4	60.5	64.1	86.5	28.7	38.2	31.2	28,480
Greek	41.2	23.0	57.9	83.8	12.1	53.3	32.0	24,542
Black	9.9	48.9	73.3	83.2	24.3	55.1	31.6	21,852
Yugoslavian	29.2	35.5	61.9	82.6	14.9	32.4	47.7	27,033
Magyar-Hungarian	20.8	43.7	55.6	80.2	25.7	35.8	31.0	25,457
Scandinavian	13.4	46.1	56.4	80.0	30.7	37.8	26.2	26,716
Far East Asian	9.6	53.0	62.8	82.0	31.9	44.0	21.9	27,496
Baltic	15.2	52.0	50.5	71.0	36.4	32.8	26.4	26,603
Philippino	7.5	73.6	76.9	84.8	34.1	42.8	21.6	29,414
Finnish	26.2	35.8	46.7	73.6	25.0	36.1	31.8	23,343
Czech & Slovak	18.0	48.5	55.7	79.1	33.9	34.7	26.6	28,644
Asian Arab	18.7	40.9	51.6	83.3	25.7	44.8	26.7	25,373
Spanish	17.0	41.6	58.9	86.2	14.3	34.2	48.6	23,244
TOTAL POPULATION	17.4	36.4	55.2	79.7	24.6	40.6	29.1	25,577

cont'd ...

TABLE 3: SELECTED SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981 (continued)

NOTES:

1 Does not include persons born outside Canada who are Canadian citizens by birth (representing 0.8% of total population born outside Canada).

2 In Tables 3-9, Far East Asian includes Japanese and Korean only. Labour force participation rates and average household income for Far East Asian are weighted averages of participation rates and average household incomes for these two groups.

3 For total population.

4 Includes children living with parents only. Ethnic origin of census family defined on basis of ethnic origin of husband in husband-wife families, family head in lone parent families.

5 Percent of all families.

6 For population age 15 and over.

7 Includes any education beyond Grade 13.

8 For population age 15 and older.

9 For experienced labour force age 15 and over.

10 Includes managerial, administrative and related occupations; occupations in natural sciences, engineering and mathematics, social sciences and related fields, religion, and medicine and health; and teaching, artistic, literary, recreational and related occupations.

11 Includes clerical, sales, service, and related occupations.

12 Includes processing, machining, product fabricating, assembling and repairing, transport equipment operating, material handling, other crafts and equipment operating, and construction trades occupations.

13 Based on the ethnic origin of person 1 in household.

TABLE 4: HIGHLIGHTS: AGE STRUCTURE OF ETHNIC GROUPS, ONTARIO 1981

- Detailed profiles for individual ethnic groups also reveal wide differences in age structures (see also age pyramids in Section 3).
- Ethnic groups consisting primarily of earlier immigrants (pre-World War II and immediate post-war periods), with few recent immigrants, tend on average to have older populations.
- Newer immigrants have much younger populations, reflecting the time of their arrival and the conditions of immigration (e.g. family/ non-family composition; and the tendency for immigration to be selective of young adults).
- For example, over 30 percent of the Indo-Pakistani and Philippino populations are under 15 years of age (in 1981), compared to less than 10 percent for the Baltic and Ukrainian populations.
- In contrast, over 23 percent of the Baltic population, 17 percent of the Finnish and 14.5 percent of the Ukrainian population are over 65 years of age, while only 2.1 and 2.2 percent of the Black and Indo-Pakistani populations respectively are over 65 years of age.
- Differences in fertility levels follow from these age structures and from differing life styles and cultural backgrounds.
- Given these age profiles the more recent non-European immigrant groups have the highest potential for rapid population growth in the future.

TABLE 4: AGE STRUCTURE OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Age Group (%)								
	Less than 5 yrs.	5-9 yrs.	10-14 yrs.	15-19 yrs.	20-29 yrs.	30-44 yrs.	45-54 yrs.	55-64 yrs.	65 yrs. & over
British	6.4	6.8	7.5	9.2	17.3	20.3	10.8	10.4	11.4
French	5.6	6.2	7.0	10.0	20.5	22.9	11.4	8.8	7.6
Italian	5.5	7.0	9.2	10.4	17.3	21.8	14.2	8.4	6.3
German	3.7	4.2	5.9	8.5	18.0	21.6	16.2	11.2	10.7
Dutch	5.3	5.7	7.7	11.0	20.7	20.3	13.3	8.9	7.1
Ukrainian	2.7	3.1	3.9	5.9	17.6	20.3	13.3	18.6	14.5
Jewish	6.1	6.1	6.0	6.5	16.6	22.1	9.9	11.6	14.9
Portuguese	8.8	9.5	10.0	9.8	17.9	23.4	11.7	5.2	3.5
Polish	3.4	3.3	4.1	6.6	19.0	20.3	12.5	17.0	13.8
Chinese	8.8	7.4	7.6	8.3	20.8	25.7	9.4	5.8	6.1
Indo-Pakistani	12.5	9.9	8.2	6.7	18.3	31.4	7.3	3.4	2.2
Greek	8.1	9.9	10.3	8.7	14.9	25.9	12.5	5.3	4.5
Black	9.4	9.3	10.0	9.9	17.4	30.4	8.2	3.4	2.1
Yugoslavian	5.5	7.7	8.4	7.9	15.1	25.4	14.5	8.4	7.1
Magyar-Hungarian	2.7	3.3	5.1	6.3	15.3	21.6	18.7	13.8	13.4
Scandinavian	2.4	3.7	5.0	6.5	17.0	25.6	14.3	12.6	12.9
Far East Asian	6.6	7.8	8.0	8.0	15.1	26.5	12.2	9.3	6.2
Baltic	2.1	2.0	2.5	4.7	15.7	16.0	10.7	23.2	23.2
Philippino	11.4	10.4	8.3	6.1	13.5	36.4	5.6	4.6	3.8
Finnish	3.4	4.0	5.0	6.4	15.4	22.2	14.3	12.2	17.0
Czech & Slovak	3.2	4.1	4.6	7.2	13.6	25.1	16.5	12.0	13.7
Asian Arab	10.3	8.6	7.9	8.2	19.0	25.8	9.2	5.8	5.1
Spanish	9.5	10.5	9.0	7.2	16.3	32.0	8.8	4.1	2.6
TOTAL POPULATION	6.9	7.2	7.9	9.4	17.8	21.0	10.9	9.4	9.4

TABLE 5: HIGHLIGHTS: ETHNIC ORIGIN BY PERIOD OF IMMIGRATION, ONTARIO, 1981

- Each ethnic origin group has its own immigration history, as revealed in the proportions who immigrated to Canada during different time periods.
- The earlier groups include the British, Eastern and Northern Europeans; the highest proportions of pre-World War II or pre-1950 immigrants are for the Eastern European populations, in part because of low levels of immigration since 1960.
- The most recent immigrant groups, as noted in Table 3, include the Philippino, Indo-Pakistani, Spanish, Chinese, other Far East Asian (Japanese and Korean primarily), and Asian Arab populations.
- The immigration of Italians was fairly high during the 1950s and 1960s but dropped significantly during the 1970s.
- Other groups, notably the Portuguese and Greek populations, show high proportions immigrating in the 1960s and early 1970s, but with relatively low levels since the mid 1970s.
- Note that period of immigration is highly correlated with other attributes, such as age structure (Table 4), family strucutre (Table 6), and education, occupation and income (Tables 7, 8 and 9 respectively).

TABLE 5: ETHNIC ORIGIN BY PERIOD OF IMMIGRATION, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Period of Immigration[1] (%)								% of Group Born Outside Canada
	Before 1946	1946-1950	1951-1955	1956-1960	1961-1965	1966-1970	1971-1975	1976-1981	
British	22.0	7.9	10.5	12.3	8.1	16.6	13.1	9.5	14.2
French	17.6	4.6	9.0	10.5	11.0	18.8	16.0	12.3	2.6
Italian	2.9	3.9	21.8	25.4	18.3	19.7	5.5	2.4	53.4
German	8.0	6.2	35.8	24.1	8.2	8.6	4.5	4.6	32.2
Dutch	2.7	16.1	47.2	17.1	4.3	5.4	3.1	4.1	43.5
Ukrainian	31.6	31.6	20.1	6.4	2.9	3.2	2.2	1.9	26.3
Jewish	24.1	12.7	9.9	9.9	6.3	10.4	10.9	15.7	34.7
Portuguese	0.1	0.1	1.4	8.4	13.6	26.9	36.7	12.8	76.0
Polish	15.4	25.8	16.3	11.3	9.8	8.4	6.4	6.6	45.8
Chinese	1.0	1.1	3.0	3.2	4.3	17.4	30.8	39.2	78.1
Indo-Pakistani	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.7	3.4	18.3	48.7	28.6	79.3
Greek	1.5	1.9	8.4	15.9	18.1	28.6	18.5	7.0	61.6
Black	0.4	0.3	0.6	2.1	6.3	28.3	39.1	22.9	75.4
Yugoslavian	6.5	4.8	8.5	15.9	12.2	28.2	16.9	7.0	65.8
Magyar-Hungarian	17.7	6.0	8.5	41.7	6.0	10.6	5.2	4.3	58.7
Scandinavian	20.3	5.5	18.0	25.6	6.2	10.1	7.7	6.6	36.1
Far East Asian	5.3	-	0.4	1.8	2.6	19.3	44.1	26.5	49.7
Baltic	3.7	51.2	32.6	5.7	2.0	2.5	1.3	1.1	69.6
Philippino	-	-	-	0.2	2.7	15.1	43.8	38.4	81.6
Finnish	30.5	2.8	21.5	19.1	5.8	11.2	4.9	4.1	41.6
Czech & Slovak	29.0	8.9	8.0	2.0	2.0	37.1	4.8	8.2	58.4
Asian Arab	1.7	0.7	3.0	4.9	5.6	20.4	30.7	32.9	65.5
Spanish	0.4	0.2	1.2	3.1	5.7	16.5	42.5	30.4	80.5
TOTAL POPULATION	11.4	7.1	13.7	13.3	9.0	16.9	16.3	12.3	23.7

Notes: [1] Does not include persons born outside Canada who are Canadian citizens by birth.

TABLE 6: HIGHLIGHTS: FAMILY STRUCTURE OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

- Given wide differences in the age structure and cultural background of individual ethnic groups, we would expect parallel variations in family structure, fertility and household size.
- The proportion of families with young children is highest for recent immigrant groups, such as Philippino (60.3%), Spanish (56.1%) and Indo-Pakistani (53.3%) and lowest for the earlier groups, such as the Baltic (17.4%) and Magyar-Hungarian (24.6%) populations (see Table 3).
- The proportion of husband-wife families with no children at home tends to highlight the same groups with the addition of the Jewish population.
- Average family size reflects these age differences as well as life style.
- Average number of children per family follows a parallel pattern (see Table 3), varying from less than 1.0 for the Baltic and Eastern European populations to over 1.6 for the Italian, Greek, Portuguese and Arab populations.
- Similarly, the proportion of lone-parent (female only) families mirrors the earlier age profiles, and also cultural differences; over 23 percent of Black families are lone-parent families, compared to only 5 percent for the Portuguese, Indo-Pakistani, Italian and Greek populations.

TABLE 6: FAMILY STRUCTURE OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Total Families[1] (000's)	Lone Parent Families[2] as % of Total Families	Lone Parent Families, % with Youngest Child Age 0-9(%)[4]	Husband-Wife Families		Marital Status[5]	
				With Youngest Child Age 0-9(%)[3]	With No Children (%)[3]	Now Married %	Divorced %
British	1,182	9.8	36.2	29.8	40.8	61.7	2.7
French	179	10.6	37.5	36.4	32.6	62.9	2.4
Italian	138	4.9	21.7	38.1	23.0	66.4	0.9
German	116	7.4	27.7	28.0	38.2	66.6	2.4
Dutch	55	5.5	35.0	35.9	31.2	66.6	1.6
Ukrainian	43	9.2	23.2	24.4	43.1	64.0	3.0
Jewish	36	6.6	27.8	27.2	45.0	63.7	3.2
Portuguese	34	5.2	34.6	51.1	19.4	71.6	0.8
Polish	39	8.6	27.5	24.8	42.6	64.4	2.6
Chinese	29	7.6	22.6	47.8	25.2	60.9	0.8
Indo-Pakistani	24	5.2	26.6	60.0	18.4	71.8	1.4
Greek	23	5.3	32.5	46.9	18.7	69.7	1.4
Black	22	23.1	49.3	54.5	21.4	54.3	3.5
Yugoslavian	23	5.7	27.7	37.7	27.6	67.5	2.4
Magyar-Hungarian	20	7.8	23.1	24.7	42.7	65.4	4.2
Scandinavian	13	7.6	31.7	26.1	43.6	67.7	3.0
Far East Asian	8	7.2	21.7	42.8	23.5	64.4	1.2
Baltic	12	7.5	16.9	17.5	53.5	63.3	3.0
Philippino	8	10.2	38.0	62.8	17.0	65.1	0.7
Finnish	10	9.4	19.2	28.5	42.2	64.5	2.0
Czech & Slovak	11	7.0	22.4	27.1	39.2	65.2	3.1
Asian Arab	7	8.9	25.4	51.5	23.3	62.3	1.6
Spanish	6	10.5	42.9	57.7	21.8	69.2	2.0
TOTAL POPULATION	2,236	9.3	40.7	33.2	36.5	62.1	2.5

Notes: [1]Based on ethnic origin of husband in husband-wife families and family head in lone parent families.

[2]Includes female lone parent families only (Male lone parent families represent 1.9%).

[3]Percent of all husband-wife families of each ethnic origin.

[4]Percent of all lone parent families of each ethnic origin.

[5]For population age 15 years and older.

TABLES 7, 8, 9: HIGHLIGHTS: LEVEL OF SCHOOLING, OCCUPATIONAL STATUS AND HOUSEHOLD INCOME

- Education levels, occupation and income are frequently combined into a composite index of socio-economic status (SES), and thus are treated together in these notes.
- Among the larger ethnic groups in Ontario, these three indices are closely associated, but with some important exceptions.
- Educational attainment (Table 7) particularly to university levels, is highest for recent immigrants such as Philippino, Indo-Pakistani and Chinese, and for the Jewish population.
- Higher status occupations (Table 8) also tend to be more common among the same groups.
- Low educational levels and service or manual occupations are more typical of earlier post-war immigrant groups, such as Portuguese and Italian.
- Other groups are relatively greater in specific occupations, such as Jewish (20.0%) in management, Greeks (34.7%) and Chinese (20.4%) in the service sector, the Dutch (12.3%) in farming and Philippino (28.6%) in clerical occupations.
- Average income levels for households reflect these occupational-educational differences, with the highest incomes found among recent immigrants and the Jewish population.
- Note that differences in income also are influenced by such factors as age structure, labour force participation rates (particularly for females), the number of wage earners in the household and the size of households (see Table 3).

TABLE 7: LEVEL OF SCHOOLING OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Level of Schooling (%) Population over 15						Total Population age 15 and over (000's)
	Less than Grade 5	Grade 5-8	Grade 9-10	Grade 11-13	Post-secondary/ non-university	University	
British	1.7	11.7	17.4	32.9	20.1	16.3	3,561
French	4.6	18.7	18.6	29.6	17.0	11.5	530
Italian	13.2	26.6	12.0	24.8	13.8	9.6	382
German	1.9	17.3	13.4	28.5	24.1	15.0	322
Dutch	1.0	15.7	14.1	30.6	25.0	13.5	155
Ukrainian	7.9	17.4	12.7	25.9	17.9	18.2	121
Jewish	3.6	7.9	8.4	25.2	13.2	41.7	107
Portuguese	30.3	22.9	14.7	19.1	9.0	3.9	92
Polish	6.7	17.9	11.7	27.0	18.5	18.3	110
Chinese	8.9	10.3	10.4	22.5	16.5	31.4	90
Indo-Pakistani	4.3	6.1	8.8	20.4	20.6	39.9	65
Greek	10.2	31.0	13.1	22.8	13.1	9.9	62
Black	1.7	8.2	14.3	26.8	31.9	17.0	64
Yugoslavian	9.0	20.2	9.7	25.5	21.0	14.5	61
Magyar-Hungarian	2.8	18.1	9.9	25.5	23.7	20.0	53
Scandinavian	1.3	12.1	12.6	27.9	24.9	21.3	36
Far East Asain	2.3	7.3	8.7	28.7	16.4	36.5	24
Baltic	4.3	11.0	8.3	24.5	20.4	31.6	33
Philippino	2.4	5.1	6.0	12.9	10.5	63.1	25
Finnish	6.2	20.0	12.5	25.5	21.5	14.3	29
Czech & Slovak	3.0	15.0	8.7	24.8	23.3	25.2	29
Asian Arab	8.3	10.4	13.0	27.4	16.6	24.3	19
Spanish	3.1	13.9	15.0	26.3	19.9	21.7	18
TOTAL POPULATION	3.6	13.8	15.8	30.4	19.5	16.9	6,650

TABLE 8: OCCUPATIONAL STATUS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Occupational Categories[1] (%)								Total Experienced Labour Force (000's)
	Managerial Administrative & Related	Professional & Technical	Clerical & Related	Sales	Service	Farming and Other Primary	Processing & Related	Construction Trades	
British	10.2	15.9	21.4	9.6	10.7	4.0	21.9	4.8	2317
French	7.1	13.1	19.6	8.0	13.3	5.4	25.4	6.6	341
Italian	5.7	7.7	16.7	8.5	13.4	1.7	30.6	13.1	266
German	9.5	14.6	17.5	8.8	10.1	6.8	25.0	6.4	219
Dutch	9.0	14.1	15.3	8.8	9.4	12.7	22.2	7.2	107
Ukrainian	9.7	16.6	20.2	9.0	11.6	2.9	24.1	4.4	79
Jewish	20.0	28.1	18.8	18.7	4.3	0.4	7.5	1.5	72
Portuguese	2.4	3.7	11.1	4.1	18.6	4.5	37.9	14.9	69
Polish	8.0	16.3	18.0	7.7	12.0	3.4	28.4	4.5	73
Chinese	8.9	21.5	19.7	5.6	20.4	0.6	20.5	1.3	62
Indo-Pakistani	9.2	19.5	24.4	7.0	6.8	0.5	30.0	1.1	49
Greek	6.2	5.8	12.0	6.6	34.7	0.9	26.8	5.2	44
Black	5.4	18.9	24.6	5.0	12.7	0.5	28.9	2.7	49
Yugoslavian	5.0	9.9	13.2	5.7	13.5	2.7	39.2	8.5	44
Magyar-Hungarian	8.6	17.1	16.1	8.8	10.9	5.9	26.0	5.1	36
Scandinavian	12.4	18.3	18.2	9.7	9.9	4.2	20.2	6.0	24
Far East Asian	11.0	20.9	21.3	13.8	8.9	1.1	19.8	2.1	17
Baltic	11.3	25.1	18.5	7.4	7.0	2.8	21.1	5.3	20
Philippino	5.0	29.1	28.6	3.2	11.0	0.2	20.8	0.8	20
Finnish	7.7	17.3	18.3	7.3	10.4	6.1	21.2	10.6	17
Czech & Slovak	10.1	23.8	17.1	7.9	9.7	3.5	22.2	4.4	20
Asian Arab	11.5	14.2	16.7	11.1	17.0	1.4	23.8	3.0	13
Spanish	4.3	10.1	13.6	4.4	16.2	0.9	43.8	4.8	13
TOTAL POPULATION	9.2	15.4	19.9	9.1	11.6	4.1	23.5	5.6	4420

NOTES: [1]Excludes other occupations

TABLE 9: AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD INCOME, ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Total Households[1] (000's)	Household Income Categories (%) Less Than $5,000[2]	$5,000 -9,999	$10,000 -14,999	$15,000 -19,999	$20,000 -24,999	$25,000 -34,999	$35,000 & Over	Average Household Income ($)
British	1,626	6.0	13.5	12.5	12.1	12.6	20.6	22.7	25,427
French	239	8.1	14.3	12.2	12.9	14.1	20.6	17.7	22,895
Italian	148	4.2	7.2	8.7	11.8	15.2	26.8	26.2	28,018
German	152	5.7	12.7	12.3	12.1	13.4	21.2	22.6	25,403
Dutch	68	5.4	10.8	12.7	13.5	14.7	22.5	20.3	24,992
Ukrainian	60	6.0	13.6	11.3	11.0	12.4	20.9	24.8	25,842
Jewish	51	5.4	10.4	10.0	8.9	9.5	16.8	39.0	38,870
Portuguese	53	4.5	5.0	8.8	13.7	17.8	29.0	21.2	26,427
Polish	52	6.2	13.0	11.9	11.7	12.7	21.9	22.6	25,497
Chinese	33	9.5	8.7	10.0	10.8	11.6	22.3	27.2	26,993
Indo-Pakistani	26	5.2	5.0	8.9	12.1	15.6	26.6	26.6	28,480
Greek	24	5.9	8.3	13.0	15.6	16.4	22.1	18.6	24,542
Black	29	9.5	12.4	15.0	12.8	13.5	20.5	16.3	21,852
Yugoslavian	27	5.4	9.5	9.8	10.4	13.8	25.9	25.3	27,033
Magyar-Hungarian	27	6.9	15.2	11.5	11.0	12.6	20.5	22.2	25,457
Scandinavian	18	4.9	12.7	12.4	11.9	10.8	20.6	26.6	26,716
Far East Asian	10	5.9	8.2	10.0	11.8	12.5	22.0	29.3	27,496
Baltic	16	4.9	14.0	12.2	10.7	11.4	20.1	26.7	26,603
Philippino	9	3.4	4.1	7.8	9.9	13.8	30.0	31.2	29,414
Finnish	14	6.8	19.0	12.4	10.5	12.6	18.3	20.4	23,343
Czech & Slovak	15	6.2	12.6	10.2	11.1	11.3	21.1	27.5	28,644
Asian Arab	8	7.3	12.3	11.1	13.8	14.7	18.5	22.4	25,373
Spanish	7	7.8	8.9	12.6	17.5	15.1	22.4	15.6	23,244
TOTAL POPULATION	2,970	6.3	12.6	12.0	12.1	13.1	21.2	22.7	25,577

Notes: See Table 3

1 Based on ethnic origin of person 1 in household

2 Includes households without incomes

TABLE 10: HIGHLIGHTS: INDICES OF DISSIMILARITY IN RESIDENTIAL PATTERNS, ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO CMAs, 1981

- Each ethnic group has a more or less distinct residential pattern within Ontario's metropolitan areas (see maps in Section 5).
- Calculation of Indices of Dissimilarity, measuring the degree to which the distribution of population within urban areas for each group differs from that of the base population, reveals a full range of residential concentration and dispersion.
- Those groups with residential patterns most similar to that of the British population are the earlier immigrant groups: the Germans, French, Ukrainian and Polish.
- Those groups with the most dissimilar patterns are the more recent immigrants and those with distinctive cultural cohesion: the highest indices are for the Portuguese (62.6), Jewish (59.6), Indo-Pakistani (49.6), Chinese (49.1) and Finnish (49.0) populations.
- Variations in residential patterns between urban areas tend to reflect the size and characteristics of the ethnic group and the local geography of each urban area.

TABLE 10: INDICES OF DISSIMILARITY[1] IN RESIDENTIAL PATTERNS, ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO CMAs, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	HAMILTON	KITCH-ENER	LONDON	OSHAWA	OTTAWA (Ontario part only)	ST. CATHAR-INES	SUDBURY	THUNDER BAY	TORONTO	WINDSOR	GROUP MEAN	GROUP STANDARD DEVIATION
1. BRITISH	15.1	15.5	8.5	8.4	21.8	14.2	15.7	11.8	26.3	10.3	14.8	5.7
2. GERMAN	17.1	23.7	11.1	12.0	18.8	25.7	16.4	11.7	19.3	15.1	17.1	5.0
3. FRENCH	18.6	13.6	14.8	15.9	40.2	30.8	32.6	11.3	19.7	20.8	21.8	9.5
4. UKRAINIAN	22.4	25.4	25.4	26.3	25.2	16.8	25.8	20.4	34.5	19.5	24.2	4.9
5. POLISH	27.9	21.2	27.4	27.6	25.8	24.8	29.3	13.5	38.8	26.5	26.3	6.4
6. DUTCH	34.1	20.0	24.5	22.7	29.6	33.3	34.7	38.1	32.6	22.4	29.2	6.3
7. MAGYAR-HUNGARIAN	23.5	24.0	26.4	23.7	43.0	36.9	40.7	33.8	30.8	27.2	31.0	7.3
8. ITALIAN	37.3	26.6	30.8	23.7	41.9	33.1	44.4	20.4	50.5	29.3	33.8	9.6
9. YUGOSLAVIAN	46.5	38.3	31.2	34.2	50.9	33.0	43.6	41.9	36.0	33.6	38.9	6.6
10. BLACK	49.6	36.6	45.7	42.1	44.3	54.4	60.5	55.1	38.5	45.1	47.2	7.7
11. GREEK	45.3	43.2	37.7	48.9	44.5	48.3	61.2	53.8	46.2	43.9	47.3	6.4
12. FINNISH	63.7	54.4	56.1	46.6	54.2	47.9	45.1	32.7	43.3	46.2	49.0	8.5
13. CHINESE	50.6	50.9	42.7	41.9	34.8	55.6	57.3	55.9	45.3	55.5	49.1	7.6
14. INDO-PAKISTANI	49.0	39.1	50.8	43.5	43.4	56.7	62.4	56.3	41.0	53.3	49.6	7.7
15. JEWISH	68.0	43.0	59.4	49.1	41.8	63.3	75.0	61.4	74.1	60.4	59.6	11.7
16. PORTUGUESE	64.4	54.5	53.8	52.3	59.3	75.9	72.9	56.3	68.7	67.9	62.6	8.5
CMA MEAN	39.6	33.1	34.1	32.4	38.8	40.4	35.9	40.4	40.4	36.1		

[1]These Indexes of Dissimilarity measure the unevenness in the spatial distribution of each ethnic origin group as compared to the distribution of the balance of the CMA population. The ID is calculated as the sum of the differences between the proportional distributions of the two populations, divided by two. The maximum value of 100 represents complete segregation, while the minimum value of 0 means the two populations being compared have identical distributions.

SECTION 3: AGE AND SEX COMPOSITION OF ONTARIO'S ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, 1981

List of Age-Sex Pyramids

1. Total Population and British
2. French
3. Italian
4. German
5. Dutch
6. Ukrainian
7. Jewish
8. Portuguese
9. Polish
10. Chinese
11. Indo-Pakistani
12. Greek
13. Black
14. Yugoslavian
15. Hungarian-Magyar
16. Scandinavian
17. Far East Asian
18. Baltic
19. Philippino
20. Finnish
21. Czechoslovakian
22. Asian Arab
23. Spanish

SECTION 3: HIGHLIGHTS: AGE-SEX POPULATION PYRAMIDS FOR SELECTED ETHNIC GROUPS

- Population pyramids display the cumulative effects of fertility, mortality and migration experience over time on the relative distribution of a population's age and sex groups.

- Ontario's pyramid is typical of a population which has had its younger adult population reinforced by immigration, but not at sufficiently high enough levels to prevent population aging as a consequence of several decades of declining fertility.

- As Ontario's population is 53% British origins, its age-sex pyramid is very similar to that for the British origin population alone. The juxtaposition of these two pyramids emphasizes their similarity, yet, at the same time shows that the British population is somewhat older, having relatively higher proportions over 65 years of age.

- For comparative purposes, each ethnic group pyramid is superimposed over the pyramid for the population of British origins which has been the historically dominant group in the settlement and social and economic development of Ontario.

- The 23 ethnic groups included in this section tend to fall into two basic but contrasting types, i.e., a top-heavy pyramid with disproportionately greater numbers in the older age groups; and, a younger broader-base type pyramid with disproportionately greater numbers of children and a relatively young adult working-age bulge.

- The "top-heavy" pyramids are typical of older established immigrant groups for which recent postwar immigration has not been sufficient to compensate for long term fertility decline. Ethnic populations of this type, e.g., the Germans, Ukrainians, Polish, Hungarian-Magyar, Czechoslovakians, Scandinavians, Finns and especially Baltic origins will comprise an increasingly smaller proportion of Canada's population unless they experience a resurgence of immigration of young working-age adults and families.

- The contrasting broader-base type, with its significantly younger population and greater potential for growth through natural increase and continuing immigration, is found among the most recent immigrants, e.g., the Philippinos, Spanish, Indo-Pakistani, Asian-Arabs, Chinese and Blacks.

- Continuation of the demographic trends reflected in the various ethnic population pyramids will contribute to significant changes in the ethnic composition of Ontario's population.

AGE-SEX COMPOSITION ONTARIO 1981

TOTAL POPULATION AND BRITISH



FRENCH



ITALIAN



GERMAN



DUTCH



UKRAINIAN



POPULATION RESEARCH LAB
SOCIOLOGY FAINDALE

AGE-SEX COMPOSITION ONTARIO 1981













POPULATION RESEARCH LAB
SOCIOLOGY ERINDALE

AGE-SEX COMPOSITION ONTARIO 1981

BLACK



YUGOSLAVIAN



HUNGARIAN-MAGYAR



SCANDINAVIAN



FAR EAST ASIAN



BALTIC



POPULATION RESEARCH LAB
SOCIOLOGY ERINDALE

AGE-SEX COMPOSITION ONTARIO 1981

PHILIPPINO



FINNISH



CZECHOSLOVAKIAN



ASIAN ARAB



SPANISH



POPULATION RESEARCH LAB
SOCIOLOGY FAINDALE

ONTARIO

SECTION 4: ONTARIO IN MAPS:

THE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS

List of Maps (in order of group size)

1. British
2. French
3. Italian
4. German
5. Dutch
6. Ukrainian
7. Jewish
8. Portuguese
9. Polish
10. Chinese
11. Indo-Pakistani
12. Greek
13. Native Peoples
14. Black
15. Yugoslavian

ONTARIO CENSUS DIVISIONS - 1981



ONTARIO
BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	15.17
MAXIMUM %	:	80.95
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
BRITISH POPULATION	:	4,487,800
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% BRITISH	:	52.59

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	1.61
MAXIMUM %	:	76.36
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
FRENCH POPULATION	:	652,900
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% FRENCH	:	7.65

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.13
MAXIMUM %	:	10.96
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
ITALIAN POPULATION	:	487,310
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% ITALIAN	:	5.71

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.70
MAXIMUM %	:	21.26
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
GERMAN POPULATION	:	373,390
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% GERMAN	:	4.38

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
DUTCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.49
MAXIMUM %	:	8.80
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
DUTCH POPULATION	:	191,125
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% DUTCH	:	2.24

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.08
MAXIMUM %	:	6.95
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	:	134,000
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% UKRAINIAN	:	1.57

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
JEWISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	4.61
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
JEWISH POPULATION	:	131,320
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% JEWISH	:	1.54

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
PORTUGUESE ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	3.51
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
PORTUGUESE POPULATION	:	129,005
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% PORTUGUESE	:	1.51

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.09
MAXIMUM %	:	6.48
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
POLISH POPULATION	:	122,940
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% POLISH	:	1.44

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
CHINESE ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	3.66
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
CHINESE POPULATION	:	118,635
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% CHINESE	:	1.39

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
INDO-PAKISTANI ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	2.84
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
INDO-PAKISTANI POPULATION	:	93,990
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% INDO-PAKISTANI	:	1.10

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
GREEK ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	2.80
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
GREEK POPULATION	:	85,955
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% GREEK	:	1.01

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
NATIVE ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.05
MAXIMUM %	:	30.31
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
NATIVE POPULATION	:	83,295
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% NATIVE	:	0.98

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.


ONTARIO
BLACK ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981
AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION
MINIMUM % : 0.00
MAXIMUM % : 2.72
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS : 53
BLACK POPULATION : 90,035
TOTAL POPULATION : 8,534,260
% BLACK : 1.05
N
0.00% TO 0.03%
0.04% TO 0.06%
0.07% TO 0.16%
0.17% TO 0.28%
0.29% AND OVER
Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO
YUGOSLAVIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	2.79
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
YUGOSLAVIAN POPULATION	:	78,140
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% YUGOSLAVIAN	:	0.92

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

SECTION 5: ONTARIO'S URBAN AREAS IN MAPS: THE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS WITHIN SELECTED CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREAS

List of Maps (in order of group size)

Toronto, CMA

1	British	
2	Italian	
3	Jewish	
4	Portuguese	
5	Chinese	
6	German	
7	French	
8	Black	
9	Indo-Pakistani	
10	Greek	
11	Ukrainian	
12	Polish	

Metro Toronto

13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Ottawa, CMA (Ontario part)

25	British
26	French
27	Italian
28	German
29	Chinese

Hamilton, CMA

30	British
31	Italian
32	German
33	French
34	Dutch
35	Polish
36	Yugoslavian
37	Ukrainian

Sudbury, CMA

38	French
39	British
40	Italian
41	Finnish
42	Ukrainian
43	German

Thunder Bay, CMA

44	British
45	Italian
46	Ukrainian
47	Finnish
48	French
49	German
50	Polish
51	Native Peoples

TORONTO CMA
BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	7.50
MAXIMUM %	:	80.49
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
BRITISH POPULATION	:	1,390,000
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% BRITISH	:	46.71

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	81.12
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
ITALIAN POPULATION	:	297,205
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% ITALIAN	:	9.99

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
JEWISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	67.69
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
JEWISH POPULATION	:	109,240
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% JEWISH	:	3.67

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
PORTUGUESE ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	63.65
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
PORTUGUESE POPULATION	:	88,885
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% PORTUGUESE	:	2.99



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
CHINESE ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	49.10
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
CHINESE POPULATION	:	89,590
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% CHINESE	:	3.01



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	8.33
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
GERMAN POPULATION	:	82,925
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% GERMAN	:	2.79



- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 1.64%
- 1.65% TO 2.36%
- 2.37% TO 3.02%
- 3.03% TO 3.81%
- 3.82% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	16.26
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
FRENCH POPULATION	:	74,795
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% FRENCH	:	2.51



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
BLACK ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	11.64
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
BLACK POPULATION	:	72,680
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% BLACK	:	2.44



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
INDO–PAKISTANI ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	17.72
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
INDO-PAKISTANI POPULATION	:	69,725
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% INDO-PAKISTANI	:	2.34



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
GREEK ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	27.87
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
GREEK POPULATION	:	65,030
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% GREEK	:	2.19

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.28%
- 0.29% TO 0.77%
- 0.78% TO 1.47%
- 1.48% TO 3.24%
- 3.25% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	19.95
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	:	50,705
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% UKRAINIAN	:	1.70



- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.64%
- 0.65% TO 0.98%
- 0.99% TO 1.39%
- 1.40% TO 2.36%
- 2.37% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto, for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA
POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	27.18
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
POLISH POPULATION	:	47,690
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% POLISH	:	1.60



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.





METROPOLITAN TORONTO
BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	7.50
MAXIMUM %	:	76.62
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
BRITISH POPULATION	:	912,780
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% BRITISH	:	43.05

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 32.48%
- 32.49% TO 45.44%
- 45.45% TO 54.13%
- 54.14% TO 61.54%
- 61.55% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	81.12
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
ITALIAN POPULATION	:	232,455
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% ITALIAN	:	10.96



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
JEWISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	67.69
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
JEWISH POPULATION	:	97,850
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% JEWISH	:	4.62

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
PORTUGUESE ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	63.65
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	433
PORTUGUESE POPULATION	70,405
TOTAL POPULATION	2,120,260
% PORTUGUESE	3.32



EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 0.11%
0.12% TO 0.54%
0.55% TO 1.04%
1.05% TO 2.40%
2.41% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
CHINESE ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	49.10
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
CHINESE POPULATION	:	77,760
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% CHINESE	:	3.67

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	7.81
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	433
GERMAN POPULATION	53,440
TOTAL POPULATION	2,120,260
% GERMAN	2.52



- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 1.64%
- 1.65% TO 2.36%
- 2.37% TO 3.02%
- 3.03% TO 3.81%
- 3.82% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	16.26
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
FRENCH POPULATION	:	51,030
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% FRENCH	:	2.41



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
BLACK ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	11.64
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	433
BLACK POPULATION	57,755
TOTAL POPULATION	2,120,260
% BLACK	2.72

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.39%
- 0.40% TO 0.98%
- 0.99% TO 1.93%
- 1.94% TO 3.68%
- 3.69% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
INDO–PAKISTANI ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	17.72
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
INDO-PAKISTANI POPULATION	:	52,375
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% INDO-PAKISTANI	:	2.47

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
GREEK ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	27.87
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	433
GREEK POPULATION	59,435
TOTAL POPULATION	2,120,260
% GREEK	2.80

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.28%
- 0.29% TO 0.77%
- 0.78% TO 1.47%
- 1.48% TO 3.24%
- 3.25% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	19.95
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	433
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	38,840
TOTAL POPULATION	2,120,260
% UKRAINIAN	1.83

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 0.64%
0.65% TO 0.98%
0.99% TO 1.39%
1.40% TO 2.36%
2.37% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO
POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	27.18
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	433
POLISH POPULATION	37,165
TOTAL POPULATION	2,120,260
% POLISH	1.75



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

OTTAWA–HULL CMA (Ontario part)

BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	10.65
MAXIMUM %	:	72.93
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	134
BRITISH POPULATION	:	257,790
TOTAL POPULATION	:	542,375
% BRITISH	:	47.53

N

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 32.94%
- 32.95% TO 46.96%
- 46.97% TO 53.40%
- 53.41% TO 58.66%
- 58.67% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

OTTAWA–HULL CMA (Ontario part)

FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	2.50
MAXIMUM %	:	82.08
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	134
FRENCH POPULATION	:	120,675
TOTAL POPULATION	:	542,375
% FRENCH	:	22.25

N

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 8.29%
- 8.30% TO 12.34%
- 12.35% TO 20.00%
- 20.01% TO 37.02%
- 37.03% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

OTTAWA–HULL CMA (Ontario part)

ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	20.28
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	134
ITALIAN POPULATION	:	15,630
TOTAL POPULATION	:	542,375
% ITALIAN	:	2.88

N

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 0.49%
0.50% TO 0.98%
0.99% TO 2.26%
2.27% TO 4.23%
4.24% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

OTTAWA–HULL CMA (Ontario part)

GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.24
MAXIMUM %	:	8.65
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	134
GERMAN POPULATION	:	14,395
TOTAL POPULATION	:	542,375
% GERMAN	:	2.65

N

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 1.45%
1.46% TO 2.21%
2.22% TO 2.90%
2.91% TO 3.64%
3.65% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

OTTAWA–HULL CMA (Ontario part)
CHINESE ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	12.04
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	134
CHINESE POPULATION	:	8,080
TOTAL POPULATION	:	542,375
% CHINESE	:	1.49

N

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.19%
- 0.20% TO 0.83%
- 0.84% TO 1.41%
- 1.42% TO 2.07%
- 2.08% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

HAMILTON CMA
BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	21.59
MAXIMUM %	77.78
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	146
BRITISH POPULATION	298,785
TOTAL POPULATION	537,645
% BRITISH	55.57



- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 47.20%
- 47.21% TO 54.13%
- 54.14% TO 58.55%
- 58.56% TO 62.98%
- 62.99% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

HAMILTON CMA
ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.50
MAXIMUM %	45.68
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	146
ITALIAN POPULATION	41,335
TOTAL POPULATION	537,645
% ITALIAN	7.69

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 2.26%
- 2.27% TO 4.79%
- 4.80% TO 8.21%
- 8.22% TO 12.72%
- 12.73% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

HAMILTON CMA
GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	: 0.63
MAXIMUM %	: 10.76
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	: 146
GERMAN POPULATION	: 20,420
TOTAL POPULATION	: 537,645
% GERMAN	: 3.80

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 2.43%
2.44% TO 3.14%
3.15% TO 4.13%
4.14% TO 4.99%
5.00% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

HAMILTON CMA
FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.29
MAXIMUM %	9.34
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	146
FRENCH POPULATION	18,185
TOTAL POPULATION	537,645
% FRENCH	3.38



- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 2.02%
- 2.03% TO 2.57%
- 2.58% TO 3.44%
- 3.45% TO 4.69%
- 4.70% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

HAMILTON CMA
DUTCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	15.56
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	146
DUTCH POPULATION	:	16,955
TOTAL POPULATION	:	537,645
% DUTCH	:	3.15



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

HAMILTON CMA
POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.19
MAXIMUM %	17.30
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	146
POLISH POPULATION	12,225
TOTAL POPULATION	537,645
% POLISH	2.27

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 1.03%
1.04% TO 1.63%
1.64% TO 2.28%
2.29% TO 3.59%
3.60% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

HAMILTON CMA
YUGOSLAVIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	18.37
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	146
YUGOSLAVIAN POPULATION	12,515
TOTAL POPULATION	537,645
% YUGOSLAVIAN	2.33

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.37%
- 0.38% TO 0.82%
- 0.83% TO 1.63%
- 1.64% TO 3.86%
- 3.87% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

HAMILTON CMA
UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	7.40
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	146
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	11,615
TOTAL POPULATION	537,645
% UKRAINIAN	2.16

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 1.31%
1.32% TO 1.59%
1.60% TO 2.14%
2.15% TO 2.84%
2.85% AND OVER

N

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

SUDBURY CMA
FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	10.12
MAXIMUM %	:	71.55
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	36
FRENCH POPULATION	:	51,415
TOTAL POPLULATION	:	148,695
% FRENCH	:	34.58

N

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 16.74%
16.75% TO 26.64%
26.65% TO 36.33%
36.34% TO 55.37%
55.38% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

SUDBURY CMA

BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	14.93
MAXIMUM %	:	51.07
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	36
BRITISH POPULATION	:	47,690
TOTAL POPLULATION	:	148,695
% BRITISH	:	32.07

N

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.000% TO 23.90%
23.91% TO 29.14%
29.15% TO 34.36%
34.37% TO 39.08%
39.09% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

SUDBURY CMA
ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.27
MAXIMUM %	:	31.51
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	36
ITALIAN POPULATION	:	8,110
TOTAL POPLULATION	:	148,695
% ITALIAN	:	5.45

N

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 0.84%
0.85% TO 1.45%
1.46% TO 4.09%
4.10% TO 7.53%
7.54% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

SUDBURY CMA

FINNISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.10
MAXIMUM %	:	15.11
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	36
FINNISH POPULATION	:	4,490
TOTAL POPLULATION	:	148,695
% FINNISH	:	3.02

N

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.30%
- 0.31% TO 0.93%
- 0.94% TO 2.37%
- 2.38% TO 4.57%
- 4.58% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

SUDBURY CMA
UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	10.12
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	36
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	:	3,395
TOTAL POPLULATION	:	148,695
% UKRAINIAN	:	2.28

N

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 1.19%
1.20% TO 1.79%
1.80% TO 2.32%
2.33% TO 3.12%
3.13% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

SUDBURY CMA
GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.34
MAXIMUM %	:	6.04
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	36
GERMAN POPULATION	:	3,285
TOTAL POPLULATION	:	148,695
% GERMAN	:	2.21

N

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 1.00%
1.01% TO 1.74%
1.75% TO 2.21%
2.22% TO 2.64%
2.65% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

THUNDER BAY CMA
BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	21.07
MAXIMUM %	:	66.67
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
BRITISH POPULATION	:	47,425
TOTAL POPULATION	:	119,720
% BRITISH	:	39.61

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.


THUNDER BAY CMA
ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981
AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT
MINIMUM % : 0.75
MAXIMUM % : 20.75
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS : 30
ITALIAN POPULATION : 9,710
TOTAL POPULATION : 119,720
% ITALIAN : 8.11
N
EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
0.00% TO 4.14%
4.15% TO 6.35%
6.36% TO 8.76%
8.77% TO 11.20%
11.21% AND OVER
Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

SECTION 6: CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

This report has undertaken to display in tables, graphs and maps, the composition, characteristics and geographical distribution of Ontario's population in terms of ethnocultural background. The objectives are primarily that of description and the provision of a base of information on the socio-economic, demographic and distributional properties of individual ethnic origin groups. The principal methodology employed is that of computer-generated graphs and maps. The report is intended both as a contribution in its own right to a greater awareness of Ontario's changing society, and as a first stage of a series of detailed analyses of our ethnocultural heritage as well as our future. As such it should be a valuable resource and information base on which other studies may build.

The ethnic origin data derived from the 1981 Census and the Ethnocultural Data Base available through the Ministry of Citizenship and Culture provide valuable insights on the multicultural fabric of Ontario and its metropolitan areas. The province has witnessed a significant reordering of its social character, in response to a marked demographic transition, a restructuring of the economy, life style changes and shifts in patterns of immigration. The latter, in particular, has contributed to each of these processes of change, but most notably in the realm of ethnocultural character. The effects of these changes will continue to be felt for several decades in the future.

Ontario's ethnocultural or ethnic origin populations are remarkably diverse. Each ethnic origin group has a somewhat different migration history, and more or less distinct age profiles, family structures, occupational and labour force status, levels of educational attainment and income distributions. Yet differences are not always apparent, and in some instances similarities among individual groups or with the general population are more prominent.

THUNDER BAY CMA
UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	2.81
MAXIMUM %	:	16.59
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	:	9,440
TOTAL POPULATION	:	119,720
% UKRAINIAN	:	7.88

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

THUNDER BAY CMA
FINNISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.25
MAXIMUM %	:	18.27
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
FINNISH POPULATION	:	7,505
TOTAL POPULATION	:	119,720
% FINNISH	:	6.27

N

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 1.70%
- 1.71% TO 3.28%
- 3.29% TO 7.42%
- 7.43% TO 10.22%
- 10.23% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

THUNDER BAY CMA
FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	3.61
MAXIMUM %	:	9.15
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
FRENCH POPULATION	:	6,880
TOTAL POPULATION	:	119,720
% FRENCH	:	5.75

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

THUNDER BAY CMA
GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.97
MAXIMUM %	:	5.24
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
GERMAN POPULATION	:	3,285
TOTAL POPULATION	:	119,720
% GERMAN	:	2.74

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

THUNDER BAY CMA
POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	1.20
MAXIMUM %	:	8.25
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
POLISH POPULATION	:	3,265
TOTAL POPULATION	:	119,720
% POLISH	:	2.73

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

THUNDER BAY CMA
NATIVE ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	5.76
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
NATIVE POPULATION	:	2,110
TOTAL POPULATION	:	119,720
% NATIVE	:	1.76

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

Perhaps the sharpest contrasts in socio-economic and demographic characteristics are found between the earlier and newer immigrant populations. Among the former, particularly those groups arriving before or immediately after World War II, and those that have not witnessed an infusion of recent immigrants, the populations tend to be much older and in certain regards to reflect the general population. Newer immigrants, in contrast, tend to be much younger on average and to have different occupational and household structures in comparison to both earlier immigrants and the general population. Each individual ethnic group bears the imprint of the time and the conditions prevailing during their period of principal immigration. The effects of international events and of the shifting priorities of government immigration policies in Canada are clearly evident. Overall the impression received from these data is that those ethnic groups represented largely by recent non-European immigrants are on average doing very well.

The distinctive social characteristics of most of the ethnic groups, again excluding some of the older Anglo-Saxon populations, are paralleled by distinctive geographical distributions. This attests to the importance of residential concentration in supporting and reflecting ethnic group cohesion and identity. The degree of ethnic group concentration revealed within our cities also reflects differences among the groups in terms of their size, social and occupational status and family structure. The ethnic mosaic in each city, moreover, is quite different. Local history, local geography and the type of economic base all play a part in shaping the composition and residential distribution of ethnic groups in Ontario's cities.

This rich array of data and graphic illustrations call out for further analysis. We need to know much more about the social and economic characteristics of each group and how these have changed over time. More specifically, cross-tabulations are required between some of the principal variables summarized in the tables in Section 2 if we are to offer reasoned explanations for the differences observed and to assess their consequences for public policy. For example, do some groups have higher (or lower)

household incomes because of their age structure, or education or occupation or because of higher labour force participation rates and larger households? Do differences in household/family composition follow from differences in age structure, social status or cultural background? To what extent are residential concentrations of ethnic groups attributable to other socio-cultural attributes in addition to ethnic origin? The outline of Ontario's future population in ethnocultural, as well as social terms, has yet to be written.

Finally, it is clear that we must go beyond the highly aggregated data examined here, through the use of special cross-tabulations, in order to assess the socio-economic characteristics and living conditions of groups with special needs within our ethnocultural population. Such groups include the handicapped, immigrant women, lone parents, youth and the elderly, and the issues include differential access to employment and housing, inadequate income levels and educational attainment. Many of these groups are lost in the summary statistics which so frequently dominate in the forums of public debate on social and economic policy.

APPENDIX A: SELECTED CENSUS DEFINITIONS

ETHNIC ORIGIN - Refers to the ethnic or cultural group to which the respondent or the respondent's ancestors belonged on first coming to this continent. Ethnic or cultural group refers to the "roots" of the population, and should not be confused with citizenship or nationality (Based on a 1/5 sample in the 1981 Census).

Socio-Economic Variables

CENSUS FAMILY - Refers to a husband and a wife (with or without children who have never married, regardless of age), or a lone parent of any marital status, with one or more children who have never married, regardless of age, living in the same dwelling.

CENSUS FAMILY STATUS - Refers to the classification of the population into family and non-family persons. Family persons refers to household members who belong to a census family. They, in turn, are further classified as follows:

The terms Husband and Wife refer to persons living in the same dwelling as their spouse.

Lone parent refers to a mother or a father, with no spouse present, living in a dwelling with one or more never-married children.

Child refers to sons and daughters (including adopted and step-children) who have never married, regardless of age, and are living in the same dwelling as their parent(s).

Non-family persons refers to household members who do not belong to a census family. A person living alone is always a non-family person.

HOUSEHOLD - Refers to a person or group of persons (other than foreign residents) who occupy a dwelling and do not have a usual place of residence elsewhere in Canada. It usually consists of a family group, with or without lodgers, employees, etc. However, it may consist of two or more families sharing a dwelling, or a group of unrelated persons, or one person living alone.

HOUSEHOLD TOTAL INCOME - The sum of the total incomes of all members of a household. (Based on a 1/5 sample in the 1981 Census.)

LABOUR FORCE, TOTAL - Refers to the population 15 years of age and over, excluding inmates, who were either employed or unemployed during the week prior to enumeration (June 3, 1981). (Based on a 1/5 sample in 1981 Census.)

LABOUR FORCE, EXPERIENCED - The total labour force less unemployed persons 15 years of age and over who had never worked or had worked only prior to January 1, 1980.

OCCUPATION - Refers to the kind of work persons 15 years of age and over, excluding inmates, were doing during the reference week, as determined by their reporting of their kind of work and the description of the most important duties of their job. If the person did not have a job during the week prior to enumeration, the data relate to the job of longest duration since January 1, 1980. (Based on a 1/5 sample in 1981 Census.)

Geographical Terms

CENSUS DIVISION - The general term applying to counties, regional districts, regional municipalities and other types of geographic areas made up of census subdivisions.

CENSUS SUBDIVISION - The general term applying to municipalities, Indian Reserves, Indian Settlements, and unorganized territories.

CENSUS TRACT - Refers to a permanent small census geostatistical area established in large urban communities with the help of local specialists interested in urban and social science research. Census tracts must meet the following criteria:
(a) the boundaries must follow permanent and easily recognized lines on the ground;
(b) the population must be between 2,500 and 8,000, with a preferred average of 4,000 persons (except in the central business district, major industrial zones, or in peripheral areas);
(c) the area must be as homogeneous as possible in terms of economic status and social living conditions; and
(d) the shape must be as compact as possible.

CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREA (CMA) - Refers to the main labour market of an urbanized core (or continuously built-up area) having 100,000 or more population. CMAs are created by Statistics Canada and are usually known by the name of the urban area forming their urbanized core. They contain whole municipalities (or census subdivisions). CMAs are comprised of (1) municipalities completely or partly inside the urbanized core; and (2) other municipalities if (a) at least 40% of the employed labour force living in the municipality works in the urbanized core, or (b) at least 25% of the employed labour force living in the municipality lives in the urbanized core.

Source: Statistics Canada

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	42 Groups	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES 20 groups
Total Population	Total Population	Total Population	Total
Single Origins	Total Single Origins		
European Origins			
British	British	British	British
English	English		
Irish	Irish		
Scottish	Scottish		
Welsh	Welsh		
British, n.o.s. (1)	Other British		
British, n.e.s. (2)	Other British		
French	French	French	French
French			
Québéçois, Acadian, Franco-Ontarian, etc.			
Western European			
Belgian	Belgian		
Dutch	Dutch	Dutch	Dutch
Luxembourg		Belgian and Luxembourg	
Northern European			
Finnish	Finnish	Finnish	
Scandinavian	Total Scandinavian	Scandinavian	Scandinavian
Danish	Danish		
Icelandic	Other Scandinavian		
Norwegian	Norwegian		
Swedish	Swedish		
Scandinavian,n.o.s.	Other Scandinavian		

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	42 Groups	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES	20 groups
Central European				
Austrian	Austrian	Austrian		
Czech & Slovak	Total Czechoslovak	Czech & Slovak		
Czech	Czech			
Slovak	Slovak			
Czechoslovakian, n.o.s.	Czechoslovakian			
German	German	German	German	German
Polish	Polish	Polish	Polish	Polish
Magyar (Hungarian)	Magyar-Hungarian	Hungarian	Hungarian	Hungarian
Swiss	Swiss	Swiss		
Eastern European				
Baltic	Total Baltic	Baltic		
Estonian	Estonian			
Lettish (Latvian)	Latvian			
Lithuanian	Lithuanian			
Byelorussian				
Romanian	Romanian	Romanian		
Russian	Russian	Russian		
Ukrainian	Ukrainian	Ukrainian	Ukrainian	Ukrainian
Southern European				
Balkans		Balkans	Balkans	Balkans
Albanian				
Bulgarian				
Croatian, Serbian, etc.	Total Yugoslavian			
Croatian	Croatian			
Serbian	Serbian			
Slovene	Slovenian			
Yugoslav, n.o.s.	Yugoslavian, n.o.s.			

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	42 Groups	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES	20 groups
Macedonian	Macedonian			
other Balkan, n.e.s.				
Greek	Greek	Greek		Greek
Italian	Italian	Italian		Italian
Maltese	Maltese			
Portuguese	Portuguese	Portuguese		Portuguese
Spanish	Spanish	Spanish		
Other European				
Jewish	Jewish	Jewish		Jewish
Other European, n.e.s.				
Asian and African Origins				
West Asian and North African				
Armenian	Armenian	Armenian		
Asian Arab	Total Asian Arab	Asian Arab		
Lebanese	Lebanese			
Palestinian	Other Asian Arab			
Syrian	Other Asian Arab			
Asian Arab, n.e.s.	Other Asian Arab			
North African Arab		North African		
Egyptian		Arab		
North African Arab, n.e.s.				
West Asian		West Asian		
Iranian				
Israeli	Israeli			
Turk				
Indo-Pakistani Origins	Indo-Pakistani	Indo-Pakistani		Indo-Pakistani
Bengali				
Gujarati				
Punjabi				
Singhalese				

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	42 Groups	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES	20 groups
Tamil				
Bangladeshi, n.o.s.				
Indian, n.o.s.				
Pakistani, n.o.s.				
Sri Lankan, n.o.s.				
Other Indo-Pakistani, n.e.s.				
Far East Asia				
Chinese	Chinese	Chinese		Chinese
Indo-Chinese	Total Indo-Chinese	Indo-Chinese		
Burmese	Other Indo-Chinese			
Cambodian	Other Indo-Chinese			
Laotian	Other Indo-Chinese			
Thai	Other Indo-Chinese			
Vietnamese	Vietnamese			
Japanese	Japanese	Japanese		
Other Far East Asia				
Malay				
Korean	Korean			
Other Far East Asia, n.e.s.				
Pacific Islands		Pacific Islands		
Fijian				
Indonesian				
Philippino	Philippino			
Polynesian				
African		African		
African Black	Other Black			

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	42 Groups	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES	20 groups
Canadian Black	Other Black			
Other African, n.e.s.				
Other Black, n.e.s.	Other Black			
North and South American Origins			North and South American (3)	
Native Peoples			Native Peoples	Native Peoples
Inuit				
Métis				
Status or Registered Indian				
Non-Status Indian				
Latin American	Latin American (4)			
Argentinian				
Brazilian				
Caribbean	Caribbean Black			
Chilean				
Cuban				
Ecuadorian				
Haitian				
Mexican				
Peruvian				
Other Latin American, n.e.s.				
Other Origins			Other	
American				
Canadian	Canadian			
Other Commonwealth				
Other, n.e.s.	All Other Single Origins			

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES 42 Groups	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES 20 groups
Multiple Origins	Total Multiple Origins		
British and French		British and French	British and French
British and Other		British and Other	British and Other
European			
Western European			
Northern European			
Central European			
Eastern European			
Southern European			
Asian and African			
West Asian and North African	(Israeli Multiple Origins)		
Far East Asia and Pacific Islands			
Indo-Pakistani			
African			
North and South American			
Other, n.e.s., n.o.s.			
French and Other		French and Other	
European			
Western European			
Northern European			
Central European			
Eastern European			
Southern European			
Asian and African			
West Asian and North African			

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	42 Groups	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES	20 groups
Indo-Pakistani				
African				
Far East Asia and Pacific				
North and South American				
Other, n.o.s., n.e.s.				
British, French and Other			British, French and Other	
European				
Asian and African				
North and South American				
Other, n.o.s., n.e.s.				
European and Other			European and Other	
European only				
Asian and African				
North and South American				
Other, n.e.s., n.o.s.				
Jewish and Other	Jewish Multiple Origins			
European				
Other				
Native Peoples and Other			Native Peoples and Other	
Inuit and Other				
British				
French				
British and French				
European				
Other				

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	42 Groups	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES	20 groups
Status or Registered Indian and Other				
British				
French				
British and French				
European				
Other				
Non-status Indian/Métis and other				
British				
French				
British and French				
European				
Other				
	Other Multiple Origins		Other Multiple Origins	
	Total Black =			
	Caribbean Black (Caribbean)			
	+African Black			
	+Canadian Black			
	+Other Black, n.e.s.			
	Total Jewish-Israeli(1)=			
	Single Origin Jewish+			
	Single Origin Israeli			
	Total Jewish-Israeli(2)=			
	Single and Multiple Origin			
	Jewish + Single Origin			
	Israeli			

(1) n.o.s. = not otherwise specified.
(2) n.e.s. = not elsewhere specified.
(3) Does not include Native Peoples.
(4) Does not include Caribbean Black.

*MCC= Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture (numerical criteria determined the selection of groups for detailed tabulations)